# Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Genotypes in Rawalpindi and Islamabad Region

Andleeb Afzal<sup>1</sup>, Binish Chaudhary<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Aleem Khan<sup>3</sup>, Bushra Anwar<sup>4</sup>, Faheem Shahzad<sup>3</sup>

1.Basic Health Unit, 142/N.B, Sillanwali, Sargodha ; 2.Basic HealthUnit, 130/S.B, Sillanwali, Sargodha; 3. Nuclear Medicine, Oncology & Radiotherapy Institute (NORI), Islamabad; 4. Health Services Academy, Islamabad

#### Abstract

**Background:** To determine the frequency of different genotypes in HCV positive cases in the population of Rawalpindi/ Islamabad over the period of five years.

**Methods**: Data of total of three thousand eight hundred and eighteen (n=3818) HCV positive adult of both genders screened for genotype testing over the period of six years were analyzed.

**Results:** Most frequent genotype identified in our study was genotype 3, accounting for 95.8%. The second most common genotype was Type 1 (2.9%). Other genotypes were Type 2 (0.3%) and Type 4 (0.1%). Mixed genotype (Type 1 and 3) were detected in 1 %. Genotype 5 and 6 was not detected in any case. No significant difference was observed among males and females in genotype distribution (p>0.05).

**Conclusion:** Most common genotype among HCV patients was genotype 3, followed by genotype 1.

Key Words: HCV,HCV genotype,Interferon therapy, Viral Infection

#### Introduction

Determination of an individual's HCV genotype prior to antiviral therapy has become increasingly important for the deciding clinical management and predicting prognosis of HCV infection. Relative genotype proportions are needed to inform to healthcare models, which should be geographically tailored. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a globally prevalent pathogen and one of the major causes of mortality and morbidity especially in developing countries like Pakistan.<sup>1,2</sup> Recent estimates revealed an increase in its seroprevalence over the last 10-15 years to 2.8%, corresponding to > 185 million infections worldwide.<sup>3</sup> Prevalence of HCV infections in Pakistani population has been estimated to be 8% and is increasing day-byday.4,5 HCV has been classified into 1-6 major genotypes on the basis of phylogenetic analysis of nucleotide sequences.6 HCV genotypes have different biological properties, clinical outcome and response to antiviral treatment. Study of genotyping pattern provides important clues about transmission and pathogenesis as well as contributes to the development of an effective preventive and curative strategy. 7 HCV genotypes and subtypes have variable distribution around the globe. Predominant genotypes in the United States and Europe are 1a and 1b respectively.8,9 HCV genotype 2 is more prevalent in countries of West Africa while genotype 3a is more frequently found in Australia and South Asia. 10,11 Moreover, genotypes 4, 5 and 6 are frequently found in Central Africa, South Africa and Asia.12 All these HCV genotypes show 31-34% heterogeneity in their nucleotide sequences approximately 30% and heterogeneity their in amino acid sequences.<sup>13</sup>Although genotype 3 is reported as most prevalent form in Pakistan, yet, studies have shown regional variations in the prevalence of different genotypes. Other genotypes were also present in the patients infected with HCV, but were of lesser frequency. 14,15

The goal of treatment is to eradicate HCV RNA, which is predicted by the achievement of a sustained virologic response (SVR) as defined by the absence of HCV RNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 12 weeks after stopping treatment. An SVR is associated with a 99 percent chance of being HCV RNA negative during long-term follow-up and strongly depend on HCV genotype <sup>16</sup>. Determination of HCV genotype is thus essential for making decisions regarding regimen selection, dosing and duration of therapy <sup>17</sup>. It has been reported that high SVR rates with only 24 weeks of carefully selected regimens were achieved among most patients with genotype 3 infection <sup>18</sup>.

HCV is becoming a growing public health concern in Pakistan and to our knowledge, there are few studies reporting genotype pattern in Rawalpindi/Islamabad region. Relative genotype proportions are needed to inform to healthcare models, which should be geographically tailored.

# **Patients and Methods**

It was a retrospective cross-sectional study carried out at Nuclear Medicine, Oncology and Radiotherapy Institute (NORI), Islamabad, Pakistan. The study was observational in nature and all diagnosed cases of HCV infection referred for genotpye testing on routine basis were included (non-probability purposive sampling). Results of individual patient were duly informed and consent was taken for enrollment for analysis of consolidated results. We did not use any sample size calculation formula or pilot study to calculate the sample size. Data collected over a period of six years (Jan 2010 to December 2015) were analyzed. Study design was approved by the hospital ethical committee. HCV RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed to synthesis cDNA that was further subjected to nested PCR for detection of HCV viral RNA. The multiplex PCR genotyping for HCV was done only for the samples with detected HCV-RNA.

### Results

A total of three thousand eight hundred and eighteen (n=3818) HCV positive adults of both genders were screened for genotype testing during the period. Females constituted 54.6%, and mean age was 40.4 years (Table 1).Most frequent genotype identified in our study was genotype 3, accounting for 95.8% (n=3657) (Table 2).Mixed genotype (Type 1 and 3) were detected in 1 % (n=35) of cases. Genotype 5 and 6 was not found in any case. No significant difference was observed among males and females in genotype distribution (p>0.05) (Table 3).

Table 1: Gender and Age distribution in studysample

F						
		Mean age	Std.			
Gender	Number	(years)	Deviation			
Males	1732 (45.4%)	39.6	12.2			
Females	2086 (54.6%)	41.1	10.7			
Total	3818 (100%)	40.4	11.4			

HCV Genotype	Frequency	Percent	
Type 1	109	2.9	
Type 2	12	0.3	
Туре 3	3657	95.8	
Type 4	5	0.1	
Mixed type 1 and 3	35	0.9	
Total	3818	100.0	

	Gender			p-value
HCV				chi-
Genotype	Males	Females	Total	square
Туре 1	59	50	109	
	(54.1%)	(45.9%)	(100.0%)	
Туре 2	5	7	12	
	(41.7%)	(58.3%)	(100.0%)	
Туре 3	1650	2007	3657	0.464
	(45.1%)	(54.9%)	(100.0%)	
Type 4	2	3	5	
	(40.0%)	(60.0%)	(100.0%)	
Mixed type 1 and 3	16	19	35	
	(45.7%)	(54.3%)	(100.0%)	
Total	1732	2086	3818	
	(45.4%)	(54.6%)	(100.0%)	

#### Table 3: Gender Based stratification

### Discussion

HCV is reported to exhibit high genetic diversity, characterized by regional variations in genotype prevalence. This poses a challenge to the improved development of vaccines and pan-genotypic treatments, which require the consideration of global trends in HCV genotype prevalence. Situation in Pakistan is even worse where published data is scarce and most data are based on relatively smaller sample size leaving a big question mark for the significance of the results published by these authors.

It has been reported that Pakistan seems to have high prevalence of hepatitis C virus type 3. 19 About 10 million Pakistani population is infected with Hepatitis C virus (HCV). The prevalence is even more pronounced among high risk population.<sup>20</sup> In this study, we gathered data over the period of six years and a total of three thousand eight hundred and eighteen (n=3818) HCV positive adult of both genders were screened for genotype testing during this period. Our results showed that Most frequent genotype identified in our study was genotype 3, accounting for 95.8% (n=3657) of HCV positive cases. The second most common genotype was Type 1 accounting for 2.9% (n=109) of HCV positive cases. No significant difference was observed among males and females in genotype distribution (p>0.05). Our results are comparable with one of the largest sample sized study conducted in Pakistan. Attaullah S et al, reviewed 34 published papers (1996-2011) related to prevalence of HCV genotypes/serotypes and subgenotypes in Pakistan. <sup>21</sup> HCV genotype/s distribution from all 34 studies was observed in 28,400 HCV infected individuals in the following pattern: 1,999 (7.03%) cases of genotype 1; 1,085 (3.81%) cases of genotype 2; 22,429 (78.96%) cases of genotype 3; 453 (1.59%) cases of genotype 4; 29 (0.10%) cases of genotype 5; 37 (0.13%) cases of genotype 6; 1,429 (5.03%) cases of mixed genotypes, and 939 (3.30%) cases of untypable genotypes. Genotype 3 occurred predominantly in all the provinces of Pakistan. Second more frequent genotype was genotype 1 in Punjab province and untypable genotypes in Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan provinces. The apparent differences from the current study (78.9% vs 95.8%) may be explained by the changing trends over time. They reported data gathered till 2011 while we gathered data from 2010 to 2015. The difference may also be attributed to the geographical difference. We published data exclusively of Rawalpindi/Islamabad region and they reviewed data of whole of the country. Inherent heterogeneity of data problems in such kinds of systematic review may also be attributed to the apparent differences in the results these two studies. However, the trends are generally similar. Hussain A et al, reported the frequency distribution of HCV genotypes in a tertiary care centre of Karachi, the largest metropolitan city of Pakistan, where people of all ethnic origins are found. <sup>22</sup> HCV genotyping was performed on a total of 457 patients who tested positive for presence of Hepatitis "C" viral RNA. The most prevalent genotype was type 3 with 392 (85.8%) cases, followed by type 1 with 51 (11.2%) cases. Our results are also comparable with other numerous studies where genotype 3 reported as remain the most prevalent subtype infecting people in Pakistan. 23-37

The comparison of present data with global prevalence data, it is with Messina JP et al, who in their large meta analysis included 1,217 studies in our analysis, representing 117 countries and 90% of the global population. <sup>38</sup> They found HCV genotype 1 is the most prevalent worldwide, comprising 83.4 million cases (46.2% of all HCV cases), approximately one-third of which are in East Asia. Genotype 3 is the next most prevalent globally (54.3 million, 30.1%); genotypes 2, 4, and 6 are responsible for a total 22.8% of all cases; genotype 5 comprises the remaining <1%. While genotypes 1 and 3 dominate in most countries irrespective of economic status, the largest proportions of genotypes 4 and 5 are in lower-income countries. In another study by Petruzziello A et al, who reviewed prevalence and HCV genotypes distribution worldwide, reported HCV prevalence is estimated at 2.5% (177.5 million of HCV infected adults), ranging from 2.9% in Africa and 1.3% in Americas, with a global viraemic rate of 67% (118.9 million of HCV RNA positive cases), varying from 64.4% in Asia to 74.8% in Australasia. <sup>39</sup> HCV genotype 1 is the most prevalent worldwide (49.1%), followed by genotype 3 (17.9%), 4 (16.8%) and 2 (11.0%). Genotypes 5 and 6 are responsible for the remaining < 5%.

It has been reported in several studies that genotype 3 is associated with better treatment responses.<sup>17,18</sup> Treatment response depends on lot of factors other than genotype. We emphasize in focusing on preventing the infection by developing suitable vaccines against genotype 3 as it was found to be the most common type in our population is. HCV infection is becoming a public health issue in Pakistan and enormous efforts need to be done by public health authorities to educate the general population about the prevention and importance of early detection and start of curative therapy. This is our general observation during our routine clinical practice that disease is generally affecting the poor masses and entails higher budget allocations from the government for prevention and control.

## Conclusion

1.The most common type found in the region of Rawalpindi/Islamabad was genotype 3 followed by genotype 1

2. Genotype 3, being the commonest, predicts good prognosis

3. Centralized infectious diseases data registry system is needed which would pave the way towards effective control of the disease in Pakistan.

## References

- 1. Cooke GS, Lemoine M, Thursz M, Gore C, Swan T, Kamarulzaman A et al. Viral hepatitis and the Global Burden of Disease: a need to regroup. J Viral Hepat. 2013;20:600-01.
- 2. Umer M, Iqbal M.Hepatitis C virus prevalence and genotype distribution in Pakistan: Comprehensive review of recent data. World J Gastroenterol.2016;22:1684-1700.
- 3. Hanafiah K, Groeger J, Flaxman AD, Wiersma ST.Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: new estimates of age-specific antibody to HCV seroprevalence.Hepatology. 2013;57:1333-42.
- 4. Afridi S, Naeem M, Hussain A, Kakar N, Babar ME, Ahmad J. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotypes in Balochistan. Mol Biol Rep. 2009;36:1511-14.
- 5. Idrees M, Riazuddin S. Frequency distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in different geographical regions of Pakistan and their possible routes of transmission. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2008;8:69-72.

- 6. Zein NN.Clinical Significance of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2000;13:223–35.
- 7. Attaullah S, Khan S, Ali I.Hepatitis C virus genotypes in Pakistan: a systemic review. Virol J. 2011;8:433-7.
- Zein NN, Rakela J, Krawitt EL, Reddy KR, Tominaga T, Persing DH. Hepatitis C virus genotypes in the United States: epidemiology, pathogenicity, and response to interferon therapy. Collaborative Study Group. Ann Intern Med. 1996;125:634–9.
- Nousbaum JB, Pol S, Nalpas B, Landais P, Berthelot P, Brechot C. Hepatitis C virus type 1b (II) infection in France and Italy. Collaborative Study Group. Ann Intern Med. 1995;122:161–68.
- 10. Simmonds P. Genetic diversity and evolution of hepatitis C virus--15 years on. J Gen Virol. 2004;85:3173–88.
- Ramia S, Eid-Fares J. Distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in the Middle East. Int J Infect Dis. 2006;10:272– 7.
- Messina JP, Humphreys I, Flaxman A, Brown A, Cooke GS, Pybus OG. Global Distribution and Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes. Hepatology. 2015;61:77–87.
- 13. Pawlotsky JM. Mechanisms of antiviral treatment efficacy and failure in chronic hepatitis C. Antiviral Res. 2003;59:1-11.
- Idrees M, Rafique S, Rehman I, Akbar H, Yousaf MZ, Butt S. Hepatitis C virus genotype 3a infection and hepatocellular carcinoma: Pakistan experience. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15:5080–5.
- Ansari N, Ahmed A, Esmail J. HCV Scrotypes in Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc. 2002;52:219-20.
- Swain MG, Lai MY, Shiffman ML, et al. A sustained virologic response is durable in patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin. Gastroenterology 2010;139:1593.
- 17. Zein NN. Clinical Significance of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2000;13:223–5.
- Foster GR, Afdhal N, Roberts SK, Bräu N, Gane EJ, Pianko S, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV Genotype 2 and 3 Infection. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(27):2608-11.
- 19. Mujeeb SA. HCV 3 in Pakistan: Does it offer More Hope for Cure and Control. J Pak Med Assoc. 2002;52:191-92.
- 20. Umar M, Bilal M. Hepatitis C, A Mega Menace: A Pakistani Perspective. J Pioneer Med Sci. 2012;2:1-4.
- 21. Attaullah S, Khan S, Ali I. Hepatitis C virus genotypes in Pakistan: a systemic review. Virol J. 2011;8:433-6.
- 22. Hussain A, Nasir MI, Siddiqui AA, Ahmad A. Prevalence of hcv genotypes in patients reporting in tertiary health care hospital of Karachi. Pak J Pharmacol. 2011;28:23-39.
- Umar M, Iqbal M. Hepatitis C virus prevalence and genotype distribution in Pakistan: Comprehensive review of recent data. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22:1684-700.

- 24. Shah HA, Jafri W, Matik 1, Hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotypes and chronic liver disease in Pakistan. J. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1997;11:758-61.
- 25. Zuberi SJ, Arif A. Serotyping of Hepatitis C in Pakistan. J. Pak. Med. Assoc., 2002;52:218-19.
- Ansari N, Ahmed A, Esmail J, HCV Serotypes in Karachi, J. Pak. Med. Assoc., 2002;52:219-20
- 27. Riaz H, Latif MZ, Qureshi MA, Rouf A, Nizami R. HCV Prevalence and its Predominant Genotypes in Sargodha Region of Pakistan. Pak J Med Health Sci. 2016;10:6-10.
- Husain A, Malik FA, Nagra H, Ehsan A, Ahmad Z, Abid M. Frequency of Different HCV Genotypes in Faisalabad. Ann Punj Med Coll. 2009;3:19-22.
- 29. Waqar M, Rehman H, Khan AU, Noor AA, Ali A, Wasim M, et al. Frequency Distribution of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes in District Karachi, Pakistan. J Gastro Hepatol Res. 2014;3:1035-38.
- 30. Khan TM, Mehr MT, Ullah H, Khan H, Iman NU. Frequency of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes in the North of Pakistan. Gomal J Med Sci. 2014;12:1-5.
- Ali S, Ahmad A, Khan RS, Khan S, Hamayun M, Khan SA, et al. Genotyping of HCV RNA Reveals That 3a Is the Most Prevalent Genotype in Mardan, Pakistan. Adv Virol. 2014;2014:1-5.
- 32. Khan N, Akmal M, Hayat M, Umar M, Ullah A, Ahmed I, et al. Geographic Distribution of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes in Pakistan. Hepat Mon. 2014;14:e20299.
- 33. Tahir M, Suhail AM, Tahir F, Hamza SA, Bukhari SAH. Detection and genotyping of hcv in patients of sheikh zayed hospital, lahore, Pakistan. JUMDC. 2016;7;25-30.
- 34. Afridi S, Naeem M, Hussain A, Kakar N, Babar ME, Ahmad J. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotypes in Balochistan. Mol Biol Rep. 2009;36:1511-4.
- 35. Mahmood K, Mohammad N, Abdullah M. Genotype variation of hepatitis C virus in district Buner Swat. J Ayub Med Coll. 2011;23:18-21.
- Rasheed A, Ullah S, Naeem S, Zubair M, Ahmad W, Hussain Z. Occurrence of HCV genotypes in different age groups of patients from Lahore, Pakistan. Adv life sci. 2014;1:89-95.
- 37. Saleha S, Kamal A, Ullah F, Khan N, Mahmood A, Khan S. Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes in District Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Hepat Res Treat. 2014;2014:1-5.
- Messina JP, Humphreys I, Flaxman A, Brown A, Cooke GS, Pybus OG, et al. Global Distribution and Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes. Hepatol. 2015;61:77–87.
- Petruzziello A, Marigliano S, Loquercio G, Cozzolino A, Cacciapuoti C. Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: An up-date of the distribution and circulation of hepatitis C virus genotypes. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22:7824–40.