

Hemodynamic Effects Of Intravenous Magnesium Sulfate In Direct Laryngoscopy And Intubation In Elective Surgery

Rashid Ullah Khan¹, Muhammad Bilal², Mishal Amjad³, Abeera Zareen⁴, Afia Rahna⁵, Javed Iqbal⁶

1. Assistant Professor, PIMS 2. Registrar Anesthesia, Salam International Hospital Kuwait 3. Medical Graduate, Central Park Medical College, Lahore 4. Head of Department, BBH Hospital 5. Assistant Consultant, Maroof Hospital, Islamabad 6. Assistant Anesthetist, FGPH, Islamabad

Corresponding author: Dr. Mishal Amjad, mishal106@gmail.com.

Abstract

Objective: To determine the efficacy of 20 mg/kg of IV MgSO₄ in blunting laryngoscopic stress response.

Methods: A single-blind randomized control trial was carried out Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS), Islamabad. 122 patients were included and divided into two groups with the help of computer-generated random numbers. Group A patients received 20 mg/kg of MgSO₄ while group B patients received a similar volume of Normal saline. Baseline hemodynamics were recorded. General anaesthesia was induced in both groups using Midazolam, Propofol and Atracurium given IV. Following intubation hemodynamics were noted.

Results: Baseline parameters and hemodynamics following intubation were similar in both groups apart from DBP in the immediate post-intubation period which was lower in group A.

Conclusion: IV MgSO₄ at a dose of 20 mg/kg is ineffective in blunting laryngoscopic stress response. Therefore, higher doses should be utilized to reach this therapeutic effect.

Keywords: MgSO₄, Airway Management, Laryngoscopy

Introduction

Induction of General Anesthesia results in loss of respiratory drive and protective airway reflexes. This predisposes the person to respiratory failure and aspiration. As a result, the airway has to be secured and mechanical ventilation is required. There are numerous ways to ensure an airway; the most popular being endotracheal tube placement with the help of a laryngoscope. Laryngoscopy provides a line of sight from the operator's eye to the glottis of the patient so that an endotracheal tube can be placed. Laryngoscopy is associated with several complications or side effects. These can be traumatic and damage the teeth, oral cavity, pharynx, larynx and vocal cords. The non-traumatic side effects include aspiration of gastric contents, hypoxia and end-organ damage such as brain injury.¹ Laryngoscopy is associated with intense sympathetic discharge as the larynx has rich innervation and laryngoscopy is one of the most painful stimuli experienced by the patient. This can lead to tachycardia, hypertension, myocardial ischemia and infarction.¹ The sympathetic discharge associated with laryngoscopy has to be attenuated so that adverse cardiovascular effects can be avoided which are detrimental to the patients suffering from cardiovascular co-morbid. Several drugs and techniques have been used over a long time to blunt this sympathetic response. It has been shown that using the McCoy laryngoscopic blade for endotracheal tube placement resulted in better attenuation of hemodynamic stress response which can be due to less lifting force required to move epiglottis out of the way of vocal cords.² McCoy blade was found to be associated with better hemodynamics in the peri-intubation period as compared to the Miller blade.³ However, the above-mentioned study did not account for the depth of anesthesia at the time of laryngoscopy during intubation which could have resulted in the hemodynamic differences noted. In a study when intubation using Macintosh McCoy or C-MAC laryngoscopy was compared at

Contributions:

R.U.K, M.B - Conception of study
- Experimentation/Study Conduction
M.A, A.Z, A.R, A.I -
Analysis/Interpretation/Discussion
M.B, A.R, A.I - Manuscript Writing
R.U.K, M.A, A.Z - Critical Review

All authors approved the final version to be published & agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflicts of Interest: None

Financial Support: None to report

Potential Competing Interests: None to report

Institutional Review Board Approval

F. 1-1/2015/ERB/SZABMU

18-01-2018

Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical
University

Review began 18/09/2024

Review ended 03/06/2025

Published 30/06/2025

© Copyright 2025

Khan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY-SA 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



How to cite this article: Khan RU, Bilal M, Amjad M, Zareen A, Rahna A, Iqbal J. Hemodynamic Effects Of Intravenous Magnesium Sulfate In Direct Laryngoscopy And Intubation In Elective Surgery. JRM. 2025 Jun. 30;29(2).

<https://doi.org/10.37939/jrmc.v29i2.2723>

similar/constant depth of anaesthesia, no significant difference was found among the three groups concerning peri-intubation hemodynamics.⁴ This means that the depth of anaesthesia is more important than the technique or type of laryngoscope being used in maintaining hemodynamic stability during the peri-intubation period. However, in resource-limiting settings, the depth of anaesthesia cannot be monitored and therefore we have to face the hemodynamic instability in the peri-intubation period. This can be prevented or attenuated by prophylactic administration of certain drugs. These drugs include beta-blockers, IV local anaesthetics (lignocaine), Opioids (fentanyl), MgSO₄, and Dexmedetomidine. Esmolol is a cardio-selective beta blocker having a half-life of 9 minutes. It blunts the tachycardia and hypertensive response of laryngoscopy. It is given intravenously.⁵ IV lignocaine can be given at a dose of 1-1.5 mg/kg about 1.5 minutes before intubation can blunt these responses.⁶ When IV Esmolol was compared with IV lignocaine in blunting hemodynamic stress response during the peri-intubation period it was found that Esmolol is a safer and more effective medication.^{7,8} Unfortunately, Esmolol is an expensive medication that is not widely available. Therefore, we need other suitable alternatives to the blunt peri-intubation hemodynamic stress response. One of the suitable alternatives is IV Dexmedetomidine. It is an alpha 2 agonist and centrally acting sympatholytic and analgesic agent.⁹ However, Dexmedetomidine is associated with bradycardia and hypotension particularly when administered with IV anaesthetic agents at the time of induction of anaesthesia or when administered pre-operatively at the time of administration of anxiolytic medications.⁹ Therefore, theoretically, the use of IV Dexmedetomidine to blunt hemodynamic perturbation at the time of endotracheal tube placement can result in bradycardia and hypotension which can again adversely affect the peri-operative outcomes. This theoretical concern emphasizes that IV Dexmedetomidine is not an ideal agent to blunt hemodynamic stress response. Furthermore, the drug is expensive and not widely available. An ideal drug would be cheap, widely available and have minimal side effects. One of the drugs which is cheap and widely available is MgSO₄. It has an excellent safety profile and has been used to blunt sympathetic discharges and hemodynamic intubations in the peri-operative period. It was found that MgSO₄ when given at a dose of 30 mg/kg as a bolus just before the creation of pneumoperitoneum protects against its adverse hemodynamic effects.¹⁰ Similarly, a bolus dose of MgSO₄ before laryngoscopy can protect against hypertension and tachycardia following intubation. IV MgSO₄ was found to be superior to IV Lignocaine in blunting hemodynamic stress response to laryngoscopy.¹¹ It was also seen that 30 mg/kg of IV MgSO₄ blunts peri-intubation stress response similar to IV Dexmedetomidine.¹² Traditionally 50 mg/kg of IV MgSO₄ was used to blunt hemodynamic stress response to intubation. However, it was found that doses less than 50 mg/kg can be equally effective in blunting hemodynamic stress response to intubation.¹³ This study looked at the effectiveness of 20 mg/kg of IV MgSO₄ in blunting hemodynamic stress response of laryngoscopy.

Materials And Methods

After ethical approval, this single-blind randomized control trial was carried out at the Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine from 1st July 2023 to 31st December 2023. Patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria i.e. patients admitted for elective surgery with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class I and II and aged 13 years and above were enrolled in the study. Patients excluded from the study were obese with body mass index > 30 kg/m², difficult airway, serum creatinine level > 2 mg/dl, Pregnant women and those having an allergy to MgSO₄. The sample size is calculated by using the WHO sample size calculator level of significance = 5 %, Power of test = 80 %, Population standard deviation = 10, Test value of population Mean (Heart Rate in the intervention group) = 93.9(14), Test value of population Mean (Heart Rate in Normal saline group) = 97.5(14), Total sample size = 122. The participants were divided into two groups using computer-generated random numbers. Group A was rendered with magnesium sulfate, whereas, Group B was given normal saline (placebo). The hemodynamic parameters were noted for each patient before induction of general anaesthesia. Group A was given 20 ml of magnesium sulfate in an infusion pump intravenously for 15 minutes with a dosage of 20 mg/ kg of body weight. On the other hand, Group B was given 20 ml of normal saline intravenously. Induction with intravenous anaesthetic drugs - midazolam 0.02 mg/ kg of body weight, Propofol 2 mg/ kg of body weight and atracurium 0.5 mg/ kg of body weight was initiated to achieve sufficient sedation, anaesthesia and muscle relaxation. After 3 minutes of bag-mask ventilation with oxygen, direct laryngoscopy or endotracheal intubation was performed on the patient. The hemodynamic parameters of patients were recorded after endotracheal intubation and laryngoscopy. All patients were given multimodal analgesia with morphine and paracetamol after 5 minutes of intervention. Hemodynamic stability was determined as changes between -20 % to +20 % from baseline mean arterial pressure, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate. Adverse effects and cardiovascular events that were either a rise in heart rate or blood pressure greater than 20% from baseline were noted.

Results

Baseline parameters were comparable among the two groups. In group A, 30 patients were male while the rest were female. In group B, 31 were male while the rest were female. The mean age of presentation in group A was 27.22 ± 5.26 years while in group B it was 29.78 ± 4.22 years. The baseline hemodynamic parameters are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison of baseline hemodynamics

Variable	Group A	Group B	p-value
Systolic blood pressure (mean \pm SD)	118.87 \pm 12.76	120.11 \pm 12.01	0.655
Diastolic blood pressure (mean \pm SD)	74.56 \pm 7.55	76.36 \pm 8.78	0.211
Heart rate (mean \pm SD)	94.41 \pm 15.88	90.13 \pm 14.76	0.323
Mean arterial pressure (mean \pm SD)	91.1 \pm 7.4	91.6 \pm 7.1	0.433

Following intervention and laryngoscopy, the comparison of vitals is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of post-intubation hemodynamic parameters

Parameter	Time frame	Group A	Group B	P value
Systolic Blood Pressure	Immediately Post intubation	141.34 \pm 11.1	142.22 \pm 12.4	0.52
	1 minute after intubation	132.33 \pm 6.7	135.35 \pm 5.4	0.12
	2 min after intubation	123.35 \pm 3.1	124.28 \pm 2.3	0.55
	3 min after intubation	121.22 \pm 12.4	123.46 \pm 12.6	0.24
Diastolic Blood Pressure	Immediately Post intubation	90.12 \pm 7.3	96.11 \pm 7.6	0.03
	1 minute after intubation	85.19 \pm 6.4	87.10 \pm 6.6	0.43
	2 min after intubation	81.22 \pm 6.3	83.18 \pm 6.7	0.51
	3 min after intubation	76.18 \pm 7.1	78.37 \pm 7.5	0.24
Mean Arterial Pressure	Immediately Post intubation	93.10 \pm 5.1	92.01 \pm 6.3	0.38
	1 minute after intubation	93.48 \pm 6.2	91.19 \pm 6.7	0.18
	2 min after intubation	93.01 \pm 6.5	91.01 \pm 7.2	0.22
	3 min after intubation	92.79 \pm 7.3	90.17 \pm 7.5	0.31
Heart Rate	Immediately Post intubation	117.32 \pm 6.8	112.31 \pm 6.2	0.17
	1 minute after intubation	105.24 \pm 7.3	101.55 \pm 7.1	0.37
	2 min after intubation	95.25 \pm 4.2	94.29 \pm 5.2	0.43
	3 min after intubation	92.15 \pm 6.2	90.39 \pm 6.8	0.28

The hemodynamic parameters were comparable among the two groups apart from diastolic blood pressure immediately post-intubation. Diastolic blood pressure was significantly lower in patients treated with MgSO₄ as compared to those receiving placebo

Discussion

Blunting laryngoscopic stress response is technically challenging. Various techniques such as the use of different types of laryngoscopic blades are found to be ineffective.⁴ The depth of anaesthesia during the time of laryngoscopy seems to be more important. However, it is technically challenging to measure and maintain it. Furthermore, excessive depth of anaesthesia can lead to side effects such as hypotension, bradycardia or tachycardia which can complicate the peri-operative course. Therefore, utilizing depth of anaesthesia as a sole measure to maintain hemodynamic stability during the peri-induction period is not an ideal technique. Various drugs have long been utilized to prevent the adverse hemodynamic effects of laryngoscopy and placement of ETT. Some of these drugs work by blocking adrenergic receptors while others blunt the laryngeal reflexes. One of the drugs which blunts the laryngeal reflexes and has long been used for preventing laryngoscopic stress response is Lignocaine. The drug can be given by IV or by nebulization. In a study, it was found that the outcomes are not significantly different no matter which route of administration is utilized.¹⁵ Another route of Lignocaine administration is transtracheal which was found to be more effective than IV Lignocaine.¹⁶ However, the administration of Lignocaine transtracheal is technically challenging and comes with its ethical dilemmas making it a less practical route of drug administration. Furthermore, Lignocaine is considered a high-risk medication and its administration should take into consideration the risk-to-benefit ratio.¹⁷ Literature has questioned Lignocaine's efficacy in preventing laryngoscopic stress response. In several studies, IV lignocaine has been found inferior to several other drugs preventing laryngoscopic stress response.^{18,19} The other drugs which are commonly utilized to blunt laryngoscopic stress response are Esmolol and Dexmedetomidine which are expensive and not widely available making their practical application difficult. On the other MgSO₄ is a widely available drug which can be successfully utilized in our clinical settings to blunt laryngoscopic stress response. In several studies utilizing IV MgSO₄, it was found that the drug was more effective than IV Lignocaine in blunting laryngoscopic stress response.^{20,21} However, in our study as compared to control group IV MgSO₄ was not successful in blunting laryngoscopic stress response apart from a lower Diastolic Blood Pressure immediately following intubation. The difference from the previous studies could be due to the lower dose of MgSO₄ i.e. 20 mg/kg utilized in our study. In the study done by Wadud et al. 40 mg/kg of IV MgSO₄ was found to be effective in blunting laryngoscopic stress response. The difference in hemodynamic parameters was most significant at 1 min following intubation and vitals being returned to baseline by the 5th minute following intubation.²¹ Similarly in a study done by Ram Manohar Reddy P et al. 30 mg/kg of IV

MgSO₄ was found to be effective in blunting laryngoscopic stress response. The heart rate and mean arterial pressure following intubation were significantly lower among patients treated with MgSO₄ at 1,3 and 5 minutes following intubation.²⁰ Similarly, Misganaw A et al. utilized 30 mg/kg of MgSO₄ in blunting laryngoscopic stress response and found that heart was significantly lower among patients treated with MgSO₄ throughout the study duration while Systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressure was significantly lower at 2nd and 5th minute following intubation.¹¹ Similarly, when different doses of MgSO₄ i.e. 30 mg/kg, 40 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg were compared all were found to be equally effective in blunting laryngoscopic stress response. However, our study has revealed that at a dose of 20 mg/kg, MgSO₄ loses its therapeutic efficacy by preventing hemodynamic alterations of laryngoscopy and endotracheal tube placement.

Conclusions

IV MgSO₄ at a dose of 20 mg/kg is ineffective in blunting laryngoscopic stress response. Therefore, higher doses should be utilized to reach this therapeutic effect.

References

- Peterson K, Ginglen JG, Desai NM, Guzman N. Direct Laryngoscopy. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 18].
- Lakhe G, Pradhan S, Dhakal S. Hemodynamic Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation Using McCoy Laryngoscope: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study. J Nepal Med Assoc [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Aug 18];59. doi: 10.31729/jnma.6752.
- Mahadevaiah T, T S D, Rani R, K N V, G M S. Comparison of Hemodynamic Response to Laryngoscopy Using Miller and McCoy Blade. Cureus [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 Aug 18]; doi: 10.7759/cureus.24914.
- Rajasekhar M, Yadav M, Kulkarni D, Gopinath R. Comparison of hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation using Macintosh or McCoy or C-MAC laryngoscope during uniform depth of anesthesia monitored by entropy. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2020;36:391. doi: 10.4103/joacp.JOACP_281_19.
- Pevtsov A, Kerndt CC, Ahmed I, Patel P, Fredlund KL. Esmolol. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 18]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK518965/>.
- Yao F-SF, Hemmings HC, Malhotra V, Fong J, editors. Yao & Artusio's anesthesiology: problem-oriented patient management. 9. edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
- Mendonça FT, Silva SLD, Nilton TM, Alves IRR. Effects of lidocaine and esmolol on hemodynamic response to tracheal intubation: a randomized clinical trial. Braz J Anesthesiol Engl Ed. 2022;72:95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.bjane.2021.01.014.
- Manpreet Singh, Basu M, Ghosh A, Pal R, Manasij Mitra. Comparison of hemodynamic response of intravenous lidocaine and esmolol during laryngoscopic intubation under general anesthesia for major abdominal surgery. Asian J Med Sci. 2024;15:47–52. doi: 10.3126/ajms.v15i4.60404.
- Reel B, Maani CV. Dexmedetomidine. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 18]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513303/>.
- Abeera Zareen, Syed Fahim Ahmed Gardazi, Muhammad Haroon Anwar, Jawad Zaheer. Effects of IV Magnesium Sulphate on Hemodynamic Response To Pneumoperitoneum In Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy; A Randomized Control Trial. Ann PIMS-Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Med Univ. 2021;17:82–85. doi: 10.48036/apims.v17i2.539.
- Misganaw A, Sitote M, Jemal S, Melese E, Hune M, Seyoum F, Sema A, Bimrew D. Comparison of intravenous magnesium sulphate and lidocaine for attenuation of cardiovascular response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in elective surgical patients at Zewditu Memorial Hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Lionetti V, editor. PLOS ONE. 2021;16:e0252465. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0252465.
- Ghodki DrP, Sawle DrVM. Comparative study between magnesium sulphate and dexmedetomidine for attenuation of vasopressor stress response during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Int J Med Anesthesiol. 2020;3:63–67. doi: 10.33545/26643766.2020.v3.i3b.149.
- Safavi M, Badiei S, Daftari-Fard N, Honarmand A. Different doses of intravenous Magnesium sulfate on cardiovascular changes following the laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Res Pharm Pract. 2015;4:79. doi: 10.4103/2279-042X.154365.
- Nooraei N, Dehkordi ME, Radpay B, Teimoorian H, Mohajerani SA. Effects of intravenous magnesium sulfate and lidocaine on hemodynamic variables following direct laryngoscopy and intubation in elective surgery patients. Tanaffos. 2013;12:57–63. Cited in: : PMID: 25191450.
- Udupi S, Asranna K, ThimmaiahKanakalakshmi S, Mathew S. Hemodynamic response of lignocaine in laryngoscopy and intubation. Trends Anaesth Crit Care. 2020;32:33–38. doi: 10.1016/j.tacc.2020.02.004.
- Pramanik M, Chattopadhyay U, Hussain SS, Singh NK, Chaudhuri S, Banerjee S, Ray S, Kirtania J. Comparison between transtracheal and intravenous 2% lignocaine in attenuating hemodynamic stress response following direct laryngoscopy and

- endotracheal intubation: a randomized controlled trial [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 26]. Available from: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-4628260/v1>.
17. Foo I, Macfarlane AJR, Srivastava D, Bhaskar A, Barker H, Knaggs R, Eipe N, Smith AF. The use of intravenous lidocaine for postoperative pain and recovery: international consensus statement on efficacy and safety. *Anaesthesia*. 2021;76:238–250. doi: [10.1111/anae.15270](https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15270).
 18. Nand R, Feroz SH, Ahmed MS, Jafri SAU, Sultan M, Ahmed N. Comparison of the Efficacy of Intravenous Lignocaine and Intravenous Dexmedetomidine in Attenuation of Hemodynamic Stress Response to Laryngoscopy and Endotracheal Intubation. *Pak Armed Forces Med J*. 2022;72:1686–1689. doi: [10.51253/pafmj.v72i5.6765](https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v72i5.6765).
 19. Singh DrN, Dhanashree DrK. Comparison of intravenous lignocaine and intravenous dexmedetomidine in attenuating pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in controlled hypertensive patients. *Int J Med Anesthesiol*. 2021;4:124–129. doi: [10.33545/26643766.2021.v4.i2b.244](https://doi.org/10.33545/26643766.2021.v4.i2b.244).
 20. Ram Manohar Reddy P, Siddartha Patta. Comparative study of I.V. magnesium sulphate and lignocaine for attenuating the haemodynamic stress during laryngoscopy and intubation. *Int J Sci Res Arch*. 2023;8:037–047. doi: [10.30574/ijrsra.2023.8.2.0201](https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2023.8.2.0201).
 21. Wadud R, Baloch MHMUD, Haq IU, Khan MK, Farid K, Firdous T. Comparison of Effects of Intravenous Lignocaine and Magnesium Sulphate on the Cardiovascular Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation. *Pak J Med Health Sci*. 2022;16:876–879. doi: [10.53350/pjmhs22167876](https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22167876).